UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,741 | 05/31/2006 | Giuseppe Molteni | LSP-1018US 1841 | |
| 24923 PAUL S MADA | 7590 06/24/200 AN | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC | | | HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE | |
| 2603 AUGUSTA DRIVE, SUITE 700 HOUSTON, TX 77057-5662 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/24/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | 10/564,74 | 1 | MOLTENI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | SUSAN HA | NLEY | 1651 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | unication appears on the | cover sheet with the co | orrespondence ac | idress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provising after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this countries. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really received by the Office later than three monthly reply received by the Of | MAILING DATE OF TH ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even mmunication. statutory period will apply and will ply will, by statute, cause the applies after the mailing date of this cor | IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) | iled on 03 May 2007 | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b) This action is no | n-final | | | | | |
| /— | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | , | | | | | |
| · | ne application | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ✓ Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the application.4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 10-29 are subject to rest | riction and/or alaction ra | quiromont | | | | | |
| Ole Claim(s) 10-29 are subject to rest | iction and/or election re | aunement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac | ty documents have been ty documents have been so of the priority docume tional Bureau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Application nts have been received e 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/07</u> . | | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te | | | | |

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 10-25, drawn to a method of making a depolymerized carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) with a cellulase.

Group II, claim(s) 26-29, drawn to an aqueous solution of a depolymerized CMC.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is drawn to a method that requires physical steps to achieve a product. Group II is drawn to a composition that comprises water and a carboxymethylcellulose. The claims of Group II include a method of making but this not relevant becsue the physical characteristics of the product are claimed. Thus, the scope of the product is defined by the physical characteristics and not the method of making the product that are included in claims 26-29. Therefore, Groups I and II lack a common special technical feature because Group I recites method steps and Group II is a composition.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUSAN HANLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-2508. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,741 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sandra Saucier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

/Susan Hanley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1651